

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,737	09/25/2000	Hajime Oosawa	04329.2436	1652
22852	22852 7590 12/19/2003		EXAMI	NER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			CZEKAJ, I	DAVID J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	Ц
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/668,737	OOSAWA, HAJIME				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dave Czekaj	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,9,10,12-15,17,18,20,21,23,24 and 26-28 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2, 5, 8, 11, 16, 19, 22, and 25 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 September 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2613

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 15, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burns (6526173).

Regarding claims 1 and 15, Burns discloses a video compression system that significantly reduces the number of bits needed to transmit and store a video signal (Burns: column 1, lines 44-46). This system comprises "selecting an error-correction coding method for each object based on the priority of each object" (Burns: column 4, lines 64-67), "error-correction coding each of the objects" (Burns: column 4, lines 64-67), and "multiplexing the objects and transmitting the multiplexed data" (Burns: column 5, lines 27-36).

Regarding claims 7 and 21, Burns discloses the complementary demultiplexer and decoders that demultiplex/decode the coded data (Burns: figure 3). It is inherent that the demultiplexer and decoders perform the inverse operations performed by the complimentary multiplexers/coders.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/668,737

Art Unit: 2613

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4, 6, 9-10, 12, 17-18, 20, 23-24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns (6526173) in view of Schmid et al. (6229437), (hereinafter referred to as "Schmid").

Regarding claims 3, 6, 17, and 20, Burns discloses a video compression system that significantly reduces the number of bits needed to transmit and store a video signal (Burns: column 1, lines 44-46). This system comprises "selecting an error-correction coding method for each object based on the priority and traffic quality of each object" (Burns: column 4, lines 64-67, wherein the traffic quality is the data rate), "error-correction coding each of the objects" (Burns: column 4, lines 64-67), and "multiplexing the objects and transmitting the multiplexed data" (Burns: column 5, lines 27-36). However, this apparatus lacks the stopping means as claimed. Schmid teaches that it is essential to include a stop symbol when having messages of varying lengths (Schmid: column 3, lines 5-51). Schmid discloses an apparatus that has stop symbols which indicates the end or stopping of one message and the beginning of another one. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the system disclosed by Burns and add the stop symbol taught

Art Unit: 2613

by Schmid in order to obtain an apparatus that can more efficiently transmit objects by having the ability to stop the transmission after a desired packet.

Regarding claims 9, 12, 23, and 26, Burns in view of Schmid disclose a means for stopping error correction coding based on priority and traffic quality. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the complimentary decoder in order to obtain a complete system that includes both the coder and decoder.

Regarding claims 4 and 18, Burns discloses "detecting traffic quality and selecting an error correction method based on the priority and traffic quality"

(Burns: column 4, lines 64-67, wherein the traffic quality is the data rate, which is previously computed).

Regarding claims 10 and 24, Burns discloses detecting traffic quality and an error correction coding method based on the priority and traffic quality, (Burns: column 4, lines 64-67, wherein the traffic quality is the data rate, which is previously computed). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the complimentary decoder in order to obtain a complete system that includes both the coder and decoder.

5. Claims 13-14 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns (6526173).

Regarding claim 13, Burns discloses a video compression system that significantly reduces the number of bits needed to transmit and store a video

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/668,737

Art Unit: 2613

signal (Burns: column 1, lines 44-46). This system comprises "selecting an error-correction coding method for each object based on the priority of each object" (Burns: column 4, lines 64-67), "error-correction coding each of the objects" (Burns: column 4, lines 64-67), and "multiplexing the objects and transmitting the multiplexed data" (Burns: column 5, lines 27-36). Although Burns fails to show coding the scene description data as disclosed, Burns does show a type scene description data in the key and feature points (Burns: column 3, lines 31-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to code the feature and key points since the feature and key points and scene description data are one in the same.

Regarding claims 14 and 27, Burns discloses a video compression system that selects an error-correction coding method for each object based on the priority of each object, error-correction codes each of the objects, and multiplexes the objects and transmits the multiplexed data. Although Burns fails to show coding the scene description data as disclosed, Burns does show a type scene description data in the key and feature points. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the complimentary decoder in order to obtain a complete system that includes both the coder and decoder.

Regarding claim 28, Burns discloses a video compression system that significantly reduces the number of bits needed to transmit and store a video

Art Unit: 2613

signal (Burns: column 1, lines 44-46). This system comprises "selecting an error-correction coding method for each object based on the priority of each object" (Burns: column 4, lines 64-67), "error-correction coding each of the objects" (Burns: column 4, lines 64-67), and "multiplexing the objects and transmitting the multiplexed data" (Burns: column 5, lines 27-36). Although Burns fails to show coding the scene description data as disclosed, Burns does show a type scene description data in the key and feature points (Burns: column 3, lines 31-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to code the feature and key points since the feature and key points and scene description data are one in the same and to implement the complimentary decoder in order to obtain a complete system that includes both the coder and decoder.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2, 5, 8, 11, 16, 19, 22, and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Chiamata at al

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US- 2002/0053049	05-2002	Sniomoto et al.
US-6108379	08-2000	Shikakura et al.
US-6570926	05-2003	Agrawal et al.
US-5923679	07-1999	Itoh et al.

HO 0000/0050040 05 0000

Art Unit: 2613

US-6522672	02-2003	Matsuzaki et al.
US-5933567	08-1999	Lane et al.
US-6587985	07-2003	Fukushima et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Czekaj whose telephone number is (703) 305-3418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 7